HOUSTON JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS © 2000 University of Houston Volume 26, No. 3, 2000

ON Φ -PSEUDO-VALUATION RINGS II

AYMAN BADAWI Communicated by Klaus Kaiser

ABSTRACT. A commutative ring R with identity $1 \neq 0$ is called a pseudo-valuation ring (PVR) if for every $a,b \in R$, either $a \mid b$ in R or $b \mid ac$ in R for every nonunit c of R. Also, R is called a Φ -pseudo-valuation ring if Nil(R) (the set of nilpotent elements of R) is a divided prime ideal of R and for every $a,b \in R \setminus Nil(R)$, either a divides b in R or b divides ac for every nonunit c of R. In this paper, we will show that for any $n \geq 0$ (possibly infinite) there is a Φ -PVR of Krull dimension n that is not a PVR.

1. Introduction

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$, we begin by recalling some back ground material. As in [9], an integral domain R, with quotient field K, is called a pseudo-valuation domain (PVD) in case each prime ideal P of R is strongly prime, in the sense that $xy \in P, x \in K, y \in K$ implies that either $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. In [4], Anderson, Dobbs and the author generalized the study of pseudo-valuation domains to the context of arbitrary rings (possibly with nozero zerodivisors). Recall from [4] that a prime ideal of R is said to be strongly prime (in R) if aP and bR are comparable for all $a, b \in R$. A ring R is called a pseudo-valuation ring (PVR), see Proposition 1.1(5). A PVR is necessarily quasilocal [[4], Lemma 1(b)]; a chained ring is a PVR if and only if it is a PVD; a chained ring is a PVR [[4], Corollary 4]; an integral domain is a PVR if and only if it is a PVD (cf. [[1], Proposition 3.1], [[2], Proposition 4.2], and [[6], Proposition 3]). Recall from [8] and [7] that a prime ideal P of R is called divided if it is comparable to every ideal of R. A ring R is called a divided ring if every prime ideal of R is divided. In [5], the author gave another generalization of PVDs to the context of arbitrary rings (possibly with nonzero zerodivisors).

 $^{1991\ \}textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \text{Primary 13A15, 13A18; Secondary 13A05, 13A10, 13F30}.$

b a^2 (in D) by Proposition 2.5(2). Thus, $a \mid b$ (in D). Hence, (0,1) = (c,d)(x,1)for some $(c,d) \in D$. Thus, xc = 0 in R and xd + c = 1 in B. Since dx is a nonunit of B and B is quasilocal, c = 1 - xd is a unit of B. Since $c^2 = c - xcd = c - 0 = c$ in B and c is a unit of B and 1 is the only unit of B that is an idempotent of B, c = 1 in B. Hence, for some $z \in R \setminus Nil(R)$ we have z(c - 1) = 0 in R. Since Nil(R) is prime and $z \in R \setminus Nil(R)$, $c-1 = w \in Nil(R)$. Hence, c=1+w is a unit of R. A contradiction, since $x\neq 0$ in R and xc=0. Hence, a /b in D. Thus, our denial is invalid. Thus, D is not a PVR. Now we show that D is a Φ -PVR. First, recall that Nil(D) = Nil(R)(+)B by Proposition 2.5(3), and Nil(D) is prime by Proposition 1.2(1). Let $a := (c, d) \in D \setminus Nil(D)$, and $b := (x,y) \in Nil(D)$. Then, $c \in R \setminus Nil(R)$ and $x \in Nil(R)$. Since R is divided by Proposition 1.1, $c \mid x$ in R. Hence, $a \mid b$ in D by Proposition 2.5(3). Thus, Nil(D) is a divided prime ideal of D. Now, let $a := (c, d) \in D \setminus Nil(D)$, $b := (x,y) \in D \setminus Nil(D)$, and f := (m,g) be a nonunit of D. Then m is a nonunit of R by Proposition 1.2(3) and $c, x \in R/Nil(R)$. Suppose that a /b in D. Then $c \not | x$ in R by Proposition 2.5(1). Hence, $x \mid cm$ by Proposition 1.1(6). Thus, $b \mid af$ in D by Proposition 2.5(2). Hence, R is a Φ -PVR by Proposition 1.1(6). By Proposition 1.2(1), $\dim(D) = \dim(R) = n$.

In light of the proof of the above Theorem, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.7. Let $d \geq 2$, and let R be a PVR of Krull dimension $n \geq 0$ such that $x^d \neq 0$ in R for some $x \in Nil(R)$, and let $B := R_{Nil(R)}$ as an R-module. Then D := R(+)B is a Φ -PVR of Krull dimension n that is not a PVR, and $y^d \neq 0$ in D for some $y \in Nil(D)$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. Then there is a Φ -PVR D with maximal ideal M and Krull dimension n that is not a PVR such that Z(D) is properly contained between Nil(D) and M, and $x^d \neq 0$ in D for some $x \in Nil(D)$.

PROOF. Let R be as in Corollary 2.3 and D as in Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.9. Let $d \geq 2$. Then there is a Φ -PVR D with maximal ideal M and infinite Krull dimension that is not a PVR such that Z(D) is properly contained between Nil(D) and M, and $x^d \neq 0$ in D for some $x \in Nil(D)$.

PROOF. Let R be as in Proposition 2.4 and D as in Theorem 2.6.

3. Zero dimensional Φ - PVRs and PVRs

We start with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let R be a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal M, and B := R/M as an R-module. Set D := R(+)B. Then

- 1. Z(D) := M(+)B.
- 2. D is a chained ring if and only if R is a field.
- 3. D is a PVR if and only if $M^2 = 0$ in R.

PROOF. 1. This is clear by Proposition 1.2(2).

- 2. If R is a field, then it is easy to see that D is a chained ring. Hence, assume that R is not a field. Let x be a nonzero element in M. Then neither of (x,1) and (0,1) divides the other in D. Hence, D is not a chained ring.
- 3. Suppose that D is a PVR. Let $a:=(x,1)\in D$ and $b:=(y,1)\in D$ for some $x\in R$. Then $a\not|b$ in D by the same argument as in (1). Hence, $b\mid ac$ for each nonunit c of D by Proposition 1.1 (5). Thus, $0\mid xy$ in R for each nonunit c of c in c in

Proposition 3.2. Let H be a field. Then there is a PVR D with maximal ideal M that is not a chained ring such that $D/M \approx H$, and there is a Φ -PVR F with maximal ideal N that is not a PVR such that $F/N \approx H$.

PROOF. Consider $R := H[x]/(x^2)$, $W := H[x]/(x^3)$, and B := H[x]/(x) as an R-module and W-module. Set D := R(+)B and F := W(+)B. Then D is a PVR with maximal ideal $M := (x)/(x^2)(+)B$ by Proposition 3.1(3), and it is not a chained ring by Proposition 3.1(2). It is easy to see that $D/M \approx H$. Now, since $Nil(F) = (x)/(x^3)(+)B$ is the maximal ideal of F, F is a Φ -PVR by Proposition 1.1(6). Also, F is not a PVR by Proposition 3.1 (3). Once again, it is clear that $F/N \approx H$.

It is easy to see that Z_n (the ring of integers module n) is a chained ring iff it is a PVR iff it is a Φ -PVR iff $n = P^m$ for some prime P > 0 of Z and $m \ge 1$. For finite rings we have the following result.

Proposition 3.3. Let H be a finite field. Then there is a finite PVR D with maximal ideal M that is not a chained ring such that $D/M \approx H$, and there is a finite $\Phi - PVR$ F with maximal ideal N that is not a PVR such that $F/N \approx H$.

AYMAN BADAWI

PROOF. Let R, W, B, D, F as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Then D and F are the desired rings.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the University of Tennessee, U.S.A., for the invitation during the academic year 1998-1999. I especially like to thank Professors David F. Anderson and David E. Dobbs.

REFERENCES

- D. F. Anderson, Comparability of ideals and valuation overrings, Houston J. Math. 5(1979), 451-463.
- [2] D.F. Anderson, When the dual of an ideal is a ring, Houston J. Math. 9(1983), 325-332.
- [3] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, and D. E. Dobbs, Pseudo-valuation rings II, to appear in Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7).
- [4] A. Badawi, D. F. Anderson, D. E. Dobbs, Pseudo-valuation rings, Lecture Notes Pure Appl.Math., Vol. 185(1997), 57-67, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel.
- [5] A. Badawi, On Φ-pseudo-valuation rings, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 205(1999), 101-110, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel.
- [6] A. Badawi, On domains which have prime ideals that are linearly ordered, Comm. Algebra 23(1995),4365-4373.
- [7] A. Badawi, On divided commutative rings, Comm. Algebra 27(1999), 1465-1474.
- [8] D. E. Dobbs, Divided rings and going-down, Pacific J. Math., 67(1976), 353-363.
- [9] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Houston, Pseudo-valuation domains, Pacific J. Math., 4(1978), 551-567.
- [10] J. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 1988.

Received February 4, 1999

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRZEIT UNIVERSITY, BOX 14, BIRZEIT, WEST BANK, VIA ISRAEL.

E-mail address: abring@birzeit.edu